Role Of Radical Initiated Cyclisation Reactions In The Synthesis Of Artemisinin Based Novel Ring Skeletons⁺.

B. Venugopalan*, S. L. Shinde and P. J. Karnik

Department of Chemistry, Research centre, Hoechst India Ltd,

Mulund, Bombay, India. 400 080.

ABSTRACT:- Refluxing the propargyl ether 2c with $nBu_3SnH/AIBN$ in toluene gave the clean single stereoisomer 3. Similarly the other isomer 2d underwent a smooth radical cyclisation to give 5. The allyl ether 2e gave 6, a 1,2-cis 1,5-trans product, under similar condition whereas the ether 2f gave two products, namely, Compound 7 (1,2-cis 1,5-cis) and Compound 8 (1,2-cis 1,5-trans).

In connection with the synthesis of different artemisinin¹ based ring skeletons for antimalarial screening, we report herein the synthesis of a novel ring system using tin mediated radical cyclisation reactions. Use of the radical mediated ring closure reaction became more prominence because of its simplicity and high stereoselectivity which enabled to synthesis many natural products².

Treatment of the bromohydrin³ 1 with primary alcohols in the presence of $BF_3.Et_20$ gave the bromo ethers **2a-2f** (Scheme 1). In each Scheme 1.



⁺Dedicated to Prof. C. N. Pillai on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

case two diastereoisomers were formed in ratios of 4:1, separated by flash column chromotography, the major⁴ 10 ß acetal having the higher R_F . The above assignment was further confirmed by the following experiment. The bromoether 2a, the major isomer, was reduced using $nBu_3SnH/AIBN$ to give arteether⁵, a known derivative, thus confirming the relative stereochemistry at the 10- position to be ß. However the relative stereochemistry at the 9-position was not confirmed because it was not essential at this point.

Refluxing the propargyl ether 2c with $nBu_3SnH/AIBN$ in toluene at 110° c for 18hrs gave the clean single stereoisomer 3 in 82 % yield as a solid after usual workup⁶. The exo methylene derivative 3 underwent oxidation in the presence of $0sO_4$ to give the keto compound 4 in 30% yield. Similarly the other isomer 2d underwent a smooth radical cyclisation reaction under similar condition to give 5 as a solid⁷. Scheme 2



The allyl ether 2e underwent cyclisation reaction to give exclusively 6 in 75% yield⁸. The 13-proton of the cyclic product 6 displayed NOE upon irradiation of 9-methyl group, thus confirming the relative configuration of 13-H and 9-methyl group to be α . Irradiation of the signal at δ 3.44(H_{11a}) showed NOE on 13-H and 10-methyl signals. Similar selective NOE experiments (irradiation of 10-methyl and of 11a-H) confirm the relative configuration at 13-H, 10-methyl, 9-methyl and 11a-H is α .[1,2-cis 1,5-trans product, structure 6]. On the contrary the radical cyclisation of 2f gave two products, one having a higher Rf tlc value and the other one with lower Rf value. On the basis of the spectral data⁹, the structure 7 [1,2-cis 1,5-cis product] was assigned to the upper moving spot (yield 30%). Selective NOE experiments support Scheme 3



its structure. The structure 8 was assigned to the product having lower Rf value¹⁰ (yield 21%) (Scheme 3).

The D-ring of the Compound 20 exists in chair form and the 108-OR group occupy the axial position and in the case of the Compound 2f, the 10α -OR group occupy the equatorial position similar to the reported 10ethers of dihydroartemisinin¹¹. The radical A derived from bromoacetal 20 should cyclise through a "chair like¹²" transition state to give 6, while the radicals B and C derived from bromo acetal 2f should cyclise through either "chair like^{13,14}" or "boat like¹⁴" transition state to give the Compounds 7 or 8 respectively. The biological activity of these derivatives will be published elsewhere.



Acknowledgements: Our thanks are due to Dr.P. K. Inamdar for the spectral and analytical data.

REFERENCES:

 (a) Klayman, D. L. Science 1985,228,1049. (b) Luo, X. O.; Shen.C. C. Med.Res.Reviews 1987, 7,29. (c) Butler. A. R.; Wu. Y. I.Chem. Soc. Rev.1992,85.

- (a) Beckwith. A. L. J.; Ingold. K. U. in "Free Radical Rearrangements", Demayo P. Ed, Academic press: New York, 1980, Vol. 1,161. (b) Hart. D. J. Science 1984,223,883. (c) Giess. B. "Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon-Carbon bonds" Pregmon Press: New York, 1986. (d) Curran.D. P.Synthesis 1988, 417,489.(e) Beckwith. A. L. J.; Lawrence. T.; Serelis. A.K.Aust.J.Chem.1983, 36,545. (f) Rajan Babu.T. V.Acc.Chem. Res.1991, 24,139.
- 3. Venugopalan. B. et.al. EPA No 91107304.7 dt May 6 1991.
- 4. In the ß series the signals for OCH_2 and 10-H groups appear in upfield compared to those in the α series.
- Brossi. A.; Venugopalan. B.; Dominguez. G. L.; Yeh. H. J.C.; Flippen-Anderson. J. L.; Buchs. P.; Luo. X. D.; Milhous. W.; Petres. W. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 645.
- Compound 3. mp137^Oc, (yield 82%), M/e M⁺ 322. Analysis calcd. For C₁₈H₂₆O₅. Calcd (Found). C,67.49(67.18),H,7.91(8.07).Proton NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.56(s,1H,H₁₅),5.45(s,1H,H₁₃),5.08(t,1H,olefinic H),4.72 (t,1H,olefinic H),4.4(two d, J=7Hz,2H,H₁₁),1.42(s,3H,3-Me),1.4(s,3H, 9-Me),1.0(bd,3H,6-Me).
- 7. Compound 5. Oil (yield 65%), M/e M⁺ 322. Analysis cacld.For C₁₈H₂₆O₅ Cacld (Found). C,67.49(67.28),H,7.91(8.07). Proton NMR (CDCl₃): 5 5.34(s,1H,H₁₅),5.10(s,1H,H₁₃),4.9(m,1H,olefinic H),4.7(m,1H,olefinic H),4.3-4.6(m,2H,H₁₁).
- 8. Compound 6. mp 125-128°C. Anal.cacld for C₁₈H₂₈O₅. Cacld (Found). C, 66.33(66.66), H, 8.58(8.70). Proton NMR(CDCl₃): δ 5.51(s,1H,H₁₅), 5.28 (s,1H,H₁₃), 4.09(t,J=8.0Hz,1H,H_{11b}), 3.44(J=11.0,J=8.0Hz,1H,H_{11a}), 1.36 (s,3H,3-Me), 1.2(s,9-Me), 0.95(bd,10-Me), 0.84(bd,6-Me). NOE 9-Me → 13-H,H_{11a} → 13Me, 9-Me,10-Me.
- 9. Compound 7. mp 132-135^oc, yield 30%. Analysis calcd. For C₁₈H₂₈O₅. Calcd (Found). C,66.33(66.50),H,8.58(8.48). Proton NMR (CDCl₃): 6 5.5(s,1H,H₁₅),5.3(s,1H,H₁₃),4.12(t,J=9Hz,1H,H_{11b}),3.42(dd,J=10Hz, 10Hz,1H,H_{11a}).
- 10. Compound 8. mp118-120^oc, yield 21%, M/e M⁺ 324. Analysis cacld. For C₁₈H₂₈O₅. Cacld (Found). C,66.33(66.30),H,8.58(8.50).Proton NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.1(s,1H,H₁₅),4.9(s,1H,H₁₃),4.12,t,1H,H_{11b}),3.48(t,1H, H_{11a}).
- 11. Luo. X.; Yeeh. H. J. C.; Brossi. A.; Flippen-Anderson. J. L.; Gilardi. R.Helv.Chem.Acta.1984,vol.67,1515.
- 12. (a) Beckwith. A. L. J.; Phillipou. G.; Serelis. A. K.Tetrahedron Lett.1981,22,2811. (b) Beckwith. A. L. J.Tetrahedron1981,Vol 37,No 18,3073.
- 13. (a) see ref. 2e. (b) Corey. E. J.; Pyne. S. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983,24,2821. (c) Bradney. M. A. M.; Forbes. A. D.; Wood.J. J. J.Chem.Soc.Perkin.Trans.1973,2,1655.
- 14. (a) Rajan Babu. T. V.J.Am.Chem.Soc.1987,109,609. (b) Rajan Babu. T. V.; Fukunaga. T.J.Am.Chem.Soc.1989,111,296. (c) Rajan Babu. T. V.; Fukunaga. T.; Reddy. G. S.J.Am.Chem.Soc.1989,111,1759. (d) Pandey. G.; Reddy. G. D.Tetrahedron Lett.1992,33,43,6533.

(Received in UK 1 July 1993; accepted 30 July 1993)